Why use certified, qualified interpreters and other trained language professionals?

COST

- Certified, qualified, and trained interpreters make significantly fewer critical errors than untrained interpreters^{1, 2, 3} and increase satisfaction both for patients and providers^{4, 5}, thus minimizing unnecessary return visits and costly mistakes.
- When qualified interpreters are not used at admission or discharge, the length of inpatient care for LEP individuals has been shown to increase significantly, as well as the rate of re-admissions within 30 days of discharge⁶.
- Use of bilingual medical staff as ad hoc interpreters has been demonstrated to be inefficient both in cost and time, as staff must abandon their own duties to perform a task that is not within their job description or skill set^{7,8}.

QUALITY

- Trained interpreters improve quality of patient care and clinical outcomes^{2,4}.
- Quality of care has been demonstrated to suffer when bilingual medical staff act as interpreters^{9, 10}.

CERTIFICATION

- As doctors must be certified by the American Board of Medical Specialties, and lawyers by the Bar Association, nationally recognized certification also exists for interpreters through the National Board of Certification for Medical Interpreters (NBCMI) and the Certification Commission for Healthcare Interpreters (CCHI). The American Translators Association (ATA) offers certification for translators.
- The two medical certification exams for interpreters mentioned above assess candidates' interpreting skills as well as language proficiency, the ability to prepare for and manage the interpreted encounter, medical terminology, cultural competence, ethics, standards of practice, and sight translation.
- Many interpreting Language Service Providers (LSPs) do not require their interpreters to pass these
 nationally validated exams, but instead use their own in-house assessment tools, which almost
 invariably means that such LSP "certification" is reviewed only internally by the LSP that then
 contracts with the interpreters who take those exams.

TITLE VI AND CLAS STANDARDS

• Title VI of the Civil Rights Act and the National Standards on Culturally and Linguistically Appropriate Services (CLAS) mandate that language access services be effective, understandable, and comparable to services received by non-LEP patients.



What can be done to comply with legislation and ensure effective budget use?

- Verify interpreter certification
 - Does the interpreter hold nationally validated credentials, or is their title of "certified interpreter" granted internally by the LSP that schedules their appointments?
- Test language proficiency
 - o Several nationally validated language proficiency exams exist: e.g., the Oral Proficiency Interview (OPI) by the **American Council on the Teaching of Foreign Languages (ACTFL).**
- Select interpreters carefully
 - o Take advantage of the language services directories of respected professional organizations and select the most highly qualified interpreter or translator for the task at hand.

OSTI, the Oregon Society of Translators and Interpreters, is a network of experienced independent professionals. Many are certified in their area of service (court interpreting, medical interpreting or translation), and many hold master's, doctoral or law degrees, in addition to their many years of practice in the profession of translation and interpreting.

Since its inception, OSTI has participated in the discussion of professional issues and it welcomes interaction with all stakeholders. By articulating the issues confronting translators and interpreters in their work, OSTI helps to foster a better understanding of the profession. OSTI's ultimate goal is to help the Oregon community cross the language barrier effectively both locally and internationally.

- 1. Flores, Glenn (2003) "Errors in medical interpretation and their potential clinical consequences in pediatric encounters" in *Pediatrics* Vol. 111 (1): 6-14.
- 2. Flores, Glenn (2005) "The impact of medical interpreter services on the quality of health care: a systematic review" in *Medical Care Research and Review*, 62: 255-299.
- 3. Flores, Glenn, Abreu M., Barone C.P., Bachur R., Lin H. (2012) "Errors of medical interpretation and their potential clinical consequences: a comparison of professional versus ad hoc versus no interpreters" in *Ann Emerg Med*, 60 (5): 545-53.
- 4. Karliner, Leah S., Elizabeth A. Jacobs, Alice H. Chen and Sunita Mutha (2007) "Do professional interpreters improve clinical care for patients with Limited English Proficiency? A systematic review of the literature" in *Health Services Research*, 42:2. pp. 727-754.
- 5. Moreno, Gerardo and Leo S. Morales (2010) "Hablamos Juntos (Together We Speak): interpreters, provider communication, and satisfaction with care" in Journal of General Internal Medicine, 25:12: 1282-1288.
- 6. Lindholm, M., Hargraves, J.L., Ferguson, W.J. and Reed G. (2012) "Professional language interpretation and inpatient length of stay and readmission rates" in *J Gen Intern Med.* (10): 1294-9.
- 7. Rader, G.S. (1988) "Management decisions: do we really need interpreters?" in Nursing Management, 19:7: 46-48.
- 8. Drennan, G. (1996) "Counting the costs of language services in psychiatry" in *South African Medical Journal*, 86:4: 343-345.
- 9. Athorp, Catherine and Bruce Downing (1996) "Modes of doctor-patient communication: How interpreter roles influence discourse." Paper presented at the 1996 Annual Conference of the American Association for Applied Linguistics, Chicago.
- 10. Elderkin-Thompson, Virginia; Roxane Cohen Silver, Howard Waitzkin (2001) "When nurses double as interpreters: a study of Spanish-speaking patients in a US primary care setting" in *Social Science & Medicine*, 52: 1343-1358.

Primary author: Emily Safrin, MA in Translation Editorial team: Helen Eby, Lois Feuerle, Esther Navarro-Hall (NAJIT) Copyright 2015 by OSTI. OSTI hereby grants permission to reprint this publication in any quantity without charge, provided that OSTI is credited as the source.

